

# EXAMINATIONS OF THE HONG KONG STATISTICAL SOCIETY



## GRADUATE DIPLOMA, 2013

### MODULE 2 : Statistical inference

**Time allowed: Three hours**

*Candidates should answer **FIVE** questions.*

*All questions carry equal marks.*

*The number of marks allotted for each part-question is shown in brackets.*

*Graph paper and Official tables are provided.*

*Candidates may use calculators in accordance with the regulations published in the Society's "Guide to Examinations" (document Ex1).*

*The notation  $\log$  denotes logarithm to base  $e$ .*

*Logarithms to any other base are explicitly identified, e.g.  $\log_{10}$ .*

*Note also that  $\binom{n}{r}$  is the same as  ${}^nC_r$ .*

This examination paper consists of 8 printed pages.

This front cover is page 1.

Question 1 starts on page 2.

There are 8 questions altogether in the paper.

1.  $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_{n_1}$  are independent observations from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\lambda$ .  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n_2}$  are independent observations from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\alpha\lambda$ .  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_{n_3}$  are independent observations from a Poisson distribution with mean  $\alpha^2\lambda$ . Here  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are positive parameters.

Firstly suppose that  $\lambda$  is known and  $\alpha$  is unknown.

- (i) Find the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\alpha$ . (8)
- (ii) Assuming that the sample sizes are large, find an approximate 95% confidence interval for  $\alpha$ . [You may assume that the appropriate regularity conditions hold.] (5)

Suppose now that both  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  are unknown.

- (iii) Derive the likelihood function and show that  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} W_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} X_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} Y_i \right)$  and  $\left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_2} X_i + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_3} Y_i \right)$  are jointly sufficient for  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ . (3)
- (iv) Find two equations satisfied by the maximum likelihood estimators of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ . [For this part, you may assume that the likelihood is maximised when both the first partial derivatives of the log likelihood are equal to zero.] (4)

2. According to a genetic theory, the proportion of individuals in population 1 exhibiting a certain characteristic is  $p$  and the proportion in population 2 is  $\frac{1}{2}p$ . Independent random samples of  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  individuals are selected from populations 1 and 2 and  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  respectively are found to have the characteristic, so that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  have binomial distributions. It is required to test the null hypothesis that  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  against the alternative hypothesis that  $p = \frac{2}{3}$ .

- (i) Show that the most powerful test has critical region of the form

$$X_1 \log(2) + X_2 \log(1.5) \geq k,$$

where  $k$  is a constant.

(11)

- (ii) Use Normal approximations to find  $k$  so that the significance level of the test is approximately 5%.

(9)

3.  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  are independent observations from a uniform distribution between 0 and  $\theta$ , where  $\theta (> 0)$  is an unknown parameter. Denote the maximum of  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  (i.e.  $\max(U_i)$ ) by  $X$ .
- (i) Find and sketch the likelihood function and hence show that the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\theta$  is  $X$ . (6)
- (ii) By noting that  $X \leq x$  if and only if all of  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$  are less than or equal to  $x$ , show that the probability density function of  $X$  is  $f_X(x) = \frac{nx^{n-1}}{\theta^n}$  for  $0 < x < \theta$ . (3)
- (iii) Show that  $Y = \frac{X}{\theta}$  is a pivotal quantity for  $\theta$  and hence find a 95% confidence interval for  $\theta$  with lower limit  $X$ . (7)
- (iv) Explain why the standard (percentile) bootstrap method for finding a confidence interval for  $\theta$  based on the maximum of each bootstrap sample is not appropriate in this case. (4)

4. The time, in seconds, for a chemical reaction to take place at pressure  $i$  ( $i = 1, 2$  and  $3$ ) is

$$f_i(t) = \begin{cases} 2\beta_i t e^{-\beta_i t^2} & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  are unknown positive constants. Independent observations  $T_{i1}, T_{i2}, \dots, T_{in}$  are made at each of pressures  $i = 1, 2$  and  $3$ . It is required to test the hypotheses

$$H_0 : \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} = \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2} \text{ versus } H_1 : \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1} \neq \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_2}.$$

- (i) Find the maximum likelihood estimators of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ . (7)

- (ii) By noting that, under  $H_0$ ,  $\beta_3 = \frac{\beta_2^2}{\beta_1}$ , show that the restricted (or constrained) maximum likelihood estimators under  $H_0$  satisfy

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \frac{3n}{\sum T_{2j}^2 + 2\sqrt{\sum T_{1j}^2 \sum T_{3j}^2}}, \quad \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_2 \sqrt{\frac{\sum T_{3j}^2}{\sum T_{1j}^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\beta}_3 = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2^2}{\hat{\beta}_1}.$$

[You may assume that the restricted maximum likelihood estimators can be found by setting the first partial derivatives to zero.] (7)

- (iii) Carry out the generalised likelihood ratio test at the 5% significance level for the case  $n = 20$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n T_{1j}^2 = 2.5$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n T_{2j}^2 = 1.8$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n T_{3j}^2 = 1.0$ , explaining clearly any asymptotic result that you use. (6)

5. Independent observations  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are available from the logarithmic distribution with unknown parameter  $\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) given by

$$P(X = x) = \frac{\alpha^x}{x(-\log(1-\alpha))} \text{ for } x = 1, 2, \dots$$

- (i) It is required to test the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$  against the alternative  $H_1 : \alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ , and the prior probability of  $H_0$  is  $\frac{1}{3}$ . Find the prior odds of  $H_0$  and the Bayes Factor and show that the posterior odds of  $H_0$  is  $\frac{2^{n-1+S}}{3^S}$ , where  $S = \sum X_i$ .
- (9)

Suppose instead that the prior distribution of  $\alpha$  has probability density function

$$\pi(\alpha) = \theta\alpha^{\theta-1} \text{ for } 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

where  $\theta$  is a known positive constant.

- (ii) Find the posterior probability density function of  $\alpha$  up to a constant of proportionality and find an expression for this constant in terms of an integral.
- (5)
- (iii) State, with reasons, whether the prior and likelihood functions are conjugate.
- (3)
- (iv) Suppose that  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{1000}$  constitute a random sample from the posterior distribution of  $\alpha$ . Describe how this sample could be used to determine the predictive probability that  $X$  is less than or equal to 2.
- (3)

6. What is meant by

(i) a *decision rule*,

(ii) a *minimax decision*,

(iii) a *Bayes decision*?

(5)

Show that the Bayes decision chooses an action which minimises the posterior expected loss.

(5)

The posterior distribution of the parameter  $p$  is

$$\pi(p|x) = \begin{cases} 12p^2(1-p) & 0 < p < 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and it is required to estimate  $p$ . Evaluate the Bayes decision when the loss associated with estimating  $p$  by  $\hat{p}$  is  $(p - \hat{p})^2$ .

(4)

Suppose instead that the loss is 1 if  $|p - \hat{p}| > 0.1$  and 0 if  $|p - \hat{p}| \leq 0.1$ . Use a sketch graph to explain the condition satisfied by the Bayes decision and describe how it could be evaluated iteratively.

(6)

7.  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{2n}$  are independent observations from the Bernoulli distribution with unknown parameter  $p$  ( $0 < p < 1$ ) i.e.

$$P(X_i = x) = \begin{cases} 1-p & x=0 \\ p & x=1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n,$$

and let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} X_i$ . It is required to estimate  $p^2 = \theta$ , say.

- (i) Show that  $\hat{\theta} = \left(\frac{Y}{2n}\right)^2$  is a biased estimator of  $\theta$ . [You may use any results concerning standard distributions without proof but they must be stated clearly.] (4)

- (ii) Show that the jack-knife estimator of  $\theta$  based on  $\hat{\theta}$  is

$$\frac{Y(Y-1)}{2n(2n-1)},$$

and show that it is unbiased for  $p^2$ . [Hint: note that  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} X_i$ .] (6)

- (iii) Define  $W_i = X_{2i-1}X_{2i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Show that  $\tilde{\theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n W_i}{n}$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$  and find its variance. (5)

- (iv) Find the Cramér-Rao lower bound for the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\theta$  and deduce the efficiency of  $\tilde{\theta}$ . (5)

8. (a) Describe how *Spearman's rank correlation coefficient* is related to the product-moment correlation coefficient.

(2)

Explain carefully how permutations are used to evaluate the statistical significance of a particular value of the Spearman's rank correlation coefficient in testing the null hypothesis of no association between two variables against a two-sided alternative. Illustrate your answer by evaluating the significance level for the case when two judges independently rank five candidates identically.

(8)

- (b) Give an account of the importance in estimation of *unbiasedness*, in relation to other desirable properties of estimators.

(10)